

ON THE STATUS OF THREE-NEUTRINO MIXING

S. M. Bilenky ¹

*Physik-Department, Technische Universität München,
James-Frank-Strasse, D-85748 Garching, Germany*

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

Abstract

All existing solar and atmospheric neutrino oscillation data are described by the standard two-neutrino formulas with neutrino mass squared differences that satisfy the hierarchy relation $\Delta m_{sol}^2 \ll \Delta m_{atm}^2$. We discuss this phenomenon from the point of view of three-neutrino mixing. Possibilities to see effects of three-neutrino mixing and, in particular, effects of CP-violation in the lepton sector depend on the values of $|U_{e3}|^2$ and Δm_{sol}^2 . We present an upper bound of $|U_{e3}|^2$, which was obtained from a three-neutrino analysis of CHOOZ data in the case if the solar neutrino oscillation parameters lie in the LMA allowed region.

There exist at present strong evidences in favor of neutrino masses and neutrino oscillations that were obtained in the atmospheric [1] and solar [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] neutrino experiments.

From the analysis of the existing data it follows that

1. The data of all solar neutrino experiments can be described by two-neutrino oscillations, which are characterized by two parameters Δm_{sol}^2 and $\tan^2 \theta_{sol}$. It is assumed also that the fluxes of initial ν_e are given by the Standard Solar Model [9].

After the Super-Kamiokande measurement of the day-night asymmetry and the spectrum of recoil electrons in the process $\nu e \rightarrow \nu e$ [7] and the measurement of flux of ν_e on the earth in the SNO experiment [8] it was established that the large mixing angle MSW allowed regions (LMA, LOW) are the most preferable ones [10, 11, 12]. For the best-fit values of the oscillation parameters in the LMA region it was found that [11]

$$\Delta m_{sol}^2 = 4.5 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2; \quad \tan^2 \theta_{sol} = 4.1 \cdot 10^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

¹Report at the Meeting NO-VE, Neutrino oscillations at Venice, 24-26 July 2001

2. The data of the atmospheric neutrino experiments are well described if we assume that $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillations take place. From the analysis of the Super-Kamiokande data for the oscillation parameters the following best-fit values were found [13]

$$\Delta m_{atm}^2 = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2; \quad \sin^2 2\theta_{atm} = 1. \quad (2)$$

3. Thus, from the analysis of the data of the solar and the atmospheric neutrino experiments, it follows that there is hierarchy of neutrino mass squared differences relevant for the oscillations of solar and atmospheric neutrinos

$$\Delta m_{sol}^2 \ll \Delta m_{atm}^2. \quad (3)$$

There exist at present indications in favor of $\bar{\nu}_\mu \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e$ oscillations that were obtained in the accelerator LSND experiment [15]. The explanation of these data requires to introduce the third neutrino mass squared difference $\Delta m_{LSND}^2 \simeq 1 \text{eV}^2$. The LSND data will be checked by the future MiniBooNE experiment [16]. We will not consider them here.

The minimal number of massive and mixed neutrinos that corresponds to three flavor neutrinos ν_e, ν_μ, ν_τ is equal to three. We will discuss here the results of solar and atmospheric neutrino experiments in the framework of this *minimal scheme*. We have

$$\nu_{\alpha L} = \sum_{i=1}^3 U_{\alpha i} \nu_{iL}, \quad (4)$$

where ν_i is the field of a neutrino with mass m_i , the index α runs over e, μ, τ , and U is the unitary 3×3 PMNS [17, 18] mixing matrix.

The probability of the transition $\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_{\alpha'}$ in vacuum is given by the expression (see, for example, [19])

$$P(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_{\alpha'}) = \left| \sum_{i=1}^3 U_{\alpha' i} \left(e^{-i \Delta m_{i1}^2 \frac{L}{2E}} \right) U_{\alpha i}^* \right|^2. \quad (5)$$

Here L is the distance between a neutrino source and a neutrino detector, E is the neutrino energy, and $\Delta m_{i1}^2 = \Delta m_i^2 - \Delta m_1^2$ (neutrino masses are numerated in such a way that $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$). We will assume that there is hierarchy of neutrino mass squared differences

$$\Delta m_{21}^2 \ll \Delta m_{31}^2. \quad (6)$$

Thus, Δm_{21}^2 is relevant for oscillations of solar neutrinos and Δm_{31}^2 is relevant for oscillations of atmospheric neutrinos.

Let us consider first neutrino oscillations in atmospheric and long baseline (LBL) reactor or accelerator experiments. In these experiments, $\frac{L}{E} \lesssim 10^3 \frac{\text{m}}{\text{MeV}}$ and

$$\Delta m_{21}^2 \frac{L}{2E} \ll 1. \quad (7)$$

Taking into account inequality (7) and using the unitarity relation

$$\sum_{i=1,2} U_{\alpha'i} U_{\alpha i}^* = \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} - U_{\alpha'3} U_{\alpha 3}^* \quad (8)$$

for the transition probability we find

$$P(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_{\alpha'}) = \left| \delta_{\alpha\alpha'} + U_{\alpha'3} U_{\alpha 3}^* \left(e^{-i\Delta m_{31}^2 \frac{L}{2E}} - 1 \right) \right|^2. \quad (9)$$

Thus, if inequality (7) is satisfied, transition probabilities in the atmospheric (LBL) neutrino oscillation experiments are determined by Δm_{31}^2 and the elements of the mixing matrix $U_{\alpha 3}$, which connect flavor neutrinos with the heaviest neutrino ν_3 . For $\alpha' \neq \alpha$ from (9) we have

$$P(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_{\alpha'}) = \frac{1}{2} A_{\alpha';\alpha} (1 - \cos \Delta m_{31}^2 \frac{L}{2E}), \quad (10)$$

where

$$A_{\alpha';\alpha} = 4 |U_{\alpha'3}|^2 |U_{\alpha 3}|^2. \quad (11)$$

For the survival probability from (9) we obtain

$$P(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_\alpha) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} B_{\alpha;\alpha} (1 - \cos \Delta m_{31}^2 \frac{L}{2E}), \quad (12)$$

where

$$B_{\alpha;\alpha} = 4 |U_{\alpha 3}|^2 (1 - |U_{\alpha 3}|^2). \quad (13)$$

Thus, due to the hierarchy (6) oscillations of atmospheric (LBL) neutrinos are described by the two-neutrino type formulas with *the same* Δm_{31}^2 for all channels. The quantities $A_{\alpha';\alpha}$ and $B_{\alpha;\alpha}$ are oscillation amplitudes. From

the unitarity of the mixing matrix it follows that they are connected by the relation

$$B_{\alpha;\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha' \neq \alpha} A_{\alpha';\alpha} \quad (14)$$

and satisfy the inequalities

$$0 \leq B_{\alpha\alpha} \leq 1; \quad 0 \leq A_{\alpha'\alpha} \leq 1 \quad (15)$$

The oscillation amplitudes depend on two parameters, say $|U_{\mu 3}|^2$ and $|U_{\tau 3}|^2$ (due to unitarity of the mixing matrix $|U_{e3}|^2 = 1 - |U_{\mu 3}|^2 - |U_{\tau 3}|^2$).

It is important to stress that the phase of the matrix elements $U_{\alpha 3}$ does not enter into expression (10) for the transition probability. Thus, if there is hierarchy (6), the relation

$$P(\nu_\alpha \rightarrow \nu_{\alpha'}) = P(\bar{\nu}_\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_{\alpha'}) \quad (16)$$

is satisfied automatically and CP violation in the lepton sector can not be revealed by the investigation of neutrino oscillations in LBL (atmospheric) neutrino experiments.

The hierarchy of neutrino mass squared differences (6) is the reason why in the leading approximation the results of the atmospheric neutrino oscillation experiments can be described by the standard two- neutrino formulas.

Let us consider now solar neutrinos. The probability of solar ν_e to survive in vacuum is given by the expression

$$P^{sol}(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e) = \left| \sum_{i=1,2} |U_{ei}|^2 e^{-i \Delta m_{i1}^2 \frac{L}{2E}} + |U_{e3}|^2 e^{-i \Delta m_{31}^2 \frac{L}{2E}} \right|^2. \quad (17)$$

In order to compare the theory with experimental data we must average the survival probability over neutrino energies and over the region where neutrinos are produced. Because of the hierarchy (6) the interference between the first and the second term in (17) disappears due to averaging and for the averaged survival probability we have

$$P^{sol}(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e) = |U_{e3}|^4 + (1 - |U_{e3}|^2)^2 P^{1,2}(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e), \quad (18)$$

where $P^{1,2}(\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_e)$ is two-neutrino survival probability that depend on Δm_{21}^2 and the angle θ_{12} that is determined by the relations

$$\cos^2 \theta_{21} = \frac{|U_{e1}|^2}{\sum_{i=1,2} |U_{ei}|^2}, \quad \sin^2 \theta_{21} = \frac{|U_{e2}|^2}{\sum_{i=1,2} |U_{ei}|^2}. \quad (19)$$

The relation (18) is also valid in the case of matter [20]. In this case the electron density ρ_e in the effective matter potential must be replaced by $(1 - |U_{e3}|^2)\rho_e$.

No zenith angle dependence of ν_e events was observed in the Super-Kamiokande atmospheric neutrino experiment [1]. The data of this experiment can be described by $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ oscillations with the best-fit value of the oscillation amplitude $A_{\tau;\mu}$ equal to one. Thus, the data of the Super-Kamiokande experiment are compatible with the small value of $|U_{e3}|^2$. From the analysis of these data, based on equations (10) and (12), it was found that $|U_{e3}|^2 \leq 0.35$ [21].

The best upper bound of $|U_{e3}|^2$ can be obtained from the results of the LBL reactor experiments CHOOZ [22] and Palo Verde [23]. In these experiments no indications in favor of the disappearance of the reactor $\bar{\nu}_e$ were found. We will consider the results of the CHOOZ experiment. In this experiment $\bar{\nu}_e$ from two reactors at the distance about 1 km from the detector were detected. The data of the experiment were analyzed in ref. [22] under the assumption of two-neutrino oscillations and the exclusion plot in the plane of the parameters $\sin^2 2\theta \equiv B_{e;e}$ and $\Delta m^2 \equiv \Delta m_{31}^2$ was obtained. From this plot it follows that for a fixed value of Δm_{31}^2 we have

$$B_{e;e} \leq B_{e;e}^0(\Delta m_{31}^2), \quad (20)$$

From (11) and (20) it follows that

$$|U_{e3}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - B_{e;e}^0} \right) \quad (21)$$

or

$$|U_{e3}|^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 - B_{e;e}^0} \right). \quad (22)$$

From the CHOOZ exclusion plot it can be seen that in the region $\Delta m_{31}^2 \geq 2 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2$ we have $B_{e;e}^0 \leq 2 \cdot 10^{-2}$. If the value of Δm_{31}^2 lies in this region from (21) and (22) we conclude that the element $|U_{e3}|^2$ can be small (inequality (21)) or large (inequality (22)).

This last possibility is excluded by the solar neutrino data. In fact, if $|U_{e3}|^2$ is close to one, than from the unitarity of the mixing matrix it follows

that $\sum_{i=1,2} |U_{ei}|^2$ is small and the suppression of the flux of solar ν_e , observed in all solar neutrino experiments,

cannot be explained by neutrino oscillations. This is also obvious from Eq. (18). Thus, from the results of the CHOOZ and solar neutrino experiments for the upper bound of $|U_{e3}|^2$ we have inequality (21). At $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2$ (the Super-Kamiokande best-fit atmospheric value) we have

$$|U_{e3}|^2 \lesssim 4 \cdot 10^{-2}. \quad (23)$$

The smallness of $|U_{e3}|^2$ is the reason why in the leading approximation oscillations of solar neutrinos are described by the standard two-neutrino formula.

In the limiting case $|U_{e3}|^2 = 0$ oscillations of solar and atmospheric (LBL) neutrinos are decoupled [24]. In this approximation solar neutrino experiments allow to obtain information on the values of the parameters Δm_{21}^2 and θ_{12} , that characterize oscillations $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu,\tau}$. The atmospheric (LBL) experiments allow to obtain information on the values of the parameters Δm_{31}^2 and θ_{23} that characterize oscillations $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$.

There is, however, no general theoretical reasons for $|U_{e3}|^2$ to be equal to zero. The exact value of the parameter $|U_{e3}|^2$ is of a great interest for further investigation of neutrino mixing. If $|U_{e3}|^2$ has a nonzero value and the parameter Δm_{21}^2 is not very small there is a possibility to investigate effects of three-neutrino mixing and, in particular, fundamental effects of CP-violation in the lepton sector in the future LBL experiments with neutrinos from Neutrino factories and Superbeam facilities (see [25, 26] and references therein).

The upper bound (23) was obtained under the assumption that Δm_{21}^2 is small and does not contribute to the ν_e survival probability in LBL experiments. If, however, the LMA is the solution of the solar neutrino problem the value of the parameter $\Delta m_{21}^2 \frac{L}{2E}$ for $\frac{L}{E}$ values of the CHOOZ experiment can be not so small. For example, in ref. [12] the following ranges of neutrino oscillation parameters in the LMA region were obtained (99.73 % CL)

$$2 \cdot 10^{-5} \lesssim \Delta m_{sol}^2 \lesssim 6 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{eV}^2; \quad 3 \cdot 10^{-1} \lesssim \tan^2 \theta_{sol} \lesssim 1. \quad (24)$$

For the average value of the parameter $\frac{L}{E}$ in the CHOOZ experiment ($\langle \frac{L}{E} \rangle = 300 \text{ m/MeV}$) and for the value $\Delta m_{21}^2 = 5 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{eV}^2$, which belong to the LMA allowed region (24), we have

$$\Delta m_{21}^2 \frac{L}{2E} \simeq 4 \cdot 10^{-1}. \quad (25)$$

In ref. [27] the CHOOZ data were reanalyzed in the framework of three-neutrino mixing. New exclusion plots in the plane of parameters $|U_{e3}|^2$ and Δm_{31}^2 were obtained for different values of Δm_{21}^2 and θ_{12} , belonging to the LMA region.

From Eq. (5) it is not difficult to obtain the following expression for three-neutrino ν_e survival probability in vacuum

$$\begin{aligned} P(\bar{\nu}_e \rightarrow \bar{\nu}_e) &= 1 - 2|U_{e3}|^2(1 - |U_{e3}|^2) \left(1 - \cos \frac{\Delta m_{31}^2 L}{2E}\right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}(1 - |U_{e3}|^2)^2 \sin^2 2\theta_{sol} \left(1 - \cos \frac{\Delta m_{21}^2 L}{2E}\right) \\ &+ 2|U_{e3}|^2(1 - |U_{e3}|^2) \sin^2 \theta_{sol} \left(\cos \left(\frac{\Delta m_{31}^2 L}{2E} - \frac{\Delta m_{21}^2 L}{2E}\right) - \cos \frac{\Delta m_{31}^2 L}{2E}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

The first term in the right hand side of this expression coincides with Eq. (12) and Eq. (13) (for $\alpha = e$). The second and third terms are corrections due to Δm_{21}^2 .

Let us notice that the values of the second and third terms in (27) are determined by $(\Delta m_{21}^2 \frac{L}{2E})^2$ and $|U_{e3}|^2 (\Delta m_{21}^2 \frac{L}{2E})$, respectively. These terms can give sizable contribution to the survival probability at large values of Δm_{21}^2 .

Expression (25) was used in [27] to analyze the CHOOZ data. It was shown that for $\Delta m_{21}^2 \geq 2 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ eV}^2$ the upper bounds on $|U_{e3}|^2$ are more stringent than those obtained by the original two-neutrino analysis of the CHOOZ data in ref. [22]. For the best-fit point of the Super-Kamiokande atmospheric neutrino data $\Delta m_{31}^2 = 2.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ and for $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.5$ the following bounds were obtained

$$|U_{e3}|^2 \lesssim 4 \cdot 10^{-2}, \quad \Delta m_{21}^2 = 1 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ eV}^{-4}. \quad (27)$$

$$|U_{e3}|^2 \lesssim 3 \cdot 10^{-2}, \quad \Delta m_{21}^2 = 4 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{ eV}^{-4}. \quad (28)$$

$$|U_{e3}|^2 \lesssim 2 \cdot 10^{-2}, \quad \Delta m_{21}^2 = 6 \cdot 10^{-4} \text{eV}^{-2}. \quad (29)$$

These bounds must be compared with the bound (23) obtained from the original two-neutrino analysis of the CHOOZ data [22].

With the continuation of the Super-Kamiokande, SNO, GNO and SAGE solar neutrino experiments and with the future BOREXINO experiment [28] a progress in the establishment of the unique solution of the solar neutrino problem is expected. The LMA allowed region will be probed in the KamLAND experiment [29] scheduled to start in 2001. After the solution of the solar neutrino problem will be settled and oscillation parameters will be determined with better precision than to-day it will be possible from the data of the CHOOZ and the Palo Verde experiments to obtain an exact three-neutrino upper bound of $|U_{e3}|^2$.

In conclusion, we have discussed here the present status of three neutrino mixing from the point of view of compelling evidences in favor of neutrino oscillations that were obtained in atmospheric and solar neutrino experiments. We have demonstrated that due to the hierarchy of neutrino mass squared differences in the leading approximation neutrino oscillations in atmospheric and long baseline neutrino experiments are described by two-neutrino type formulas.

From the results of the atmospheric neutrino experiments and LBL reactor experiments CHOOZ and Palo Verde it follows that the element $|U_{e3}|^2$ that connects ν_{eL} with the field of the heaviest neutrino ν_{3L} is small. The smallness of $|U_{e3}|^2$ is the reason why existing solar neutrino data are described by the standard two-neutrino formula.

In the limiting case $|U_{e3}|^2 = 0$ oscillations of atmospheric (LBL) neutrinos are $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_\tau$ and oscillations of solar neutrinos are $\nu_e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu,\tau}$. In this limiting case $\theta_{13} = 0$ and the investigation of oscillations of solar neutrinos allow us to measure the parameters θ_{12} and Δm_{21}^2 and investigation of oscillations of atmospheric (LBL) neutrinos allow us to measure parameters θ_{23} and Δm_{31}^2 .

There is, however, no general arguments for $|U_{e3}|^2$ to be equal to zero. The possibilities to observe effects of three-neutrino mixing in atmospheric (LBL) neutrino experiments depend on the value of $|U_{e3}|^2$ and on the value of Δm_{21}^2 . For the detailed discussion of the sensitivity of the future neutrino oscillation experiments to the quantity $|U_{e3}|^2$ and to the CP-phase δ see report [30] at this meeting.

I acknowledge the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for support.

References

- [1] Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, S. Fukuda *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1562 (1998), S. Fukuda *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 2644 (1999), S. Fukuda *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3999-4003 (2000).
- [2] B. T. Cleveland *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **496**, 505 (1998).
- [3] Kamiokande Collaboration, Y. Fukuda *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1683 (1996).
- [4] GALLEX Collaboration, W. Hampel *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **447**, 127 (1999).
- [5] GNO Collaboration, M. Altmann *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **490**, 16 (2000).
- [6] SAGE Collaboration, J. N. Abdurashitov *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **60**, 055801 (1999).
- [7] Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, S. Fukuda *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5651 (2001).
- [8] SNO collaboration Q.R. Ahmad *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 071301 (2001).
- [9] J. N. Bahcall, M. H. Pinsonneault, and S. Basu, Astrophys. J. **555**, 990 (2001).
- [10] S. Fukuda *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5656 (2001).
- [11] J. N. Bahcall, M. C. Gonzalez-Carcia and C. Pena-Garay, hep-ph/0106258.
- [12] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, D. Montanino, and A. Palazzo, hep-ph/0106247.
- [13] Super-Kamiokande Collaboration, C. McGrew, Proceeding of the IXth International Workshop on "Neutrino Telescopes" (Venice, Italy, March 6-9, 2001).
- [14] BOREXINO Collaboration, report by G. Ranucci, Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, *Neutrino 2000* (Sudbury, Canada, June 16-21, 2000).

- [15] LSND Collaboration, report by G. Mills, Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, *Neutrino 2000* (Sudbury, Canada, June 16-21, 2000).
- [16] MiniBooNE Collaboration, report by A. Bazarko, Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, *Neutrino 2000* (Sudbury, Canada, June 16-21, 2000).
- [17] B. Pontecorvo, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. **34**, 247 (1958) [Sov. Phys. JETP **7**, 172 (1958)]; B. Pontecorvo, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **53**, 1717 (1967) [Sov. Phys. JETP **26**, 984 (1968)].
- [18] Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa, and S. Sakata, Prog. Theor. Phys. **28**, 870 (1962).
- [19] S.M. Bilenky, C. Giunti and W. Grimus. Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **43**, 1 (1999), hep-ph/9812360.
- [20] S.T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B**214** (1988) 259; X. Shi and D.N. Schramm, Phys. Lett. B **283**, 305 (1992).
- [21] T. Kajita, report at Neutrino Oscillation Workshop *NOW2000*, Otranto, Italy, Sept. 9-16, 2000; G.L. Fogli et al., hep-ph/0104221.
- [22] CHOOZ Collaboration, M. Apollonio *et al*, Phys. Lett. B**338**, 383 (1998); M. Apollonio *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B**466** (1999) 415.
- [23] F. Boehm, J. *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 3764 (2000); Phys. Rev. D**62** (2000) 072002.
- [24] S.M.Bilenky and C.Giunti, Phys. Lett. B**444** (1998) 379.
- [25] C. Albright *et al.* "Physics at a Neutrino Factory" hep-ex/0008064; A. Blondel *et al.* "The Neutrino factory: beam and experiments",
- [26] V. Barger *et al.*, hep-ph/0103052; J. Gomes Cadenas, Proceedings of the 9th International Workshop "Neutrino Telescopes" (Venice, March 6-9, 2001).
- [27] S.M.Bilenky, D.Nicolo and S.T.Petcov in preparation.

- [28] BOREXINO Collaboration, G. Ranucci, Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, *Neutrino 2000* (Sudbury, Canada, June 16-21, 2000).
- [29] KamLAND Collaboration, A. Piepke, Proceedings of the 19th International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, *Neutrino 2000* (Sudbury, Canada, 2000).
- [30] A. Rubbia, Proceedings of the International Workshop NO-VE "Neutrino Oscillations in Venice" (Venice, July 24-26, 2000).